

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Adexandra, Vigonia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST N		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/084,018		02/25/2002	Olga Bandman	PF-0241-2 DIV	8087
27904	7590	08/12/2003			
		RATION (formerly	EXAMINER		
Genomics, I 3160 PORT	ER DRI	· -	HAYES, ROBERT CLINTON		
PALO ALTO, CA 94304				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1647	9
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/084,018

Bandman et al

Office Action Summary Examiner

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

Art Unit **1647**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the	e cover shed	et with	the correspondence address	
Period	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			XPIRE	1	_ MONTH(S) FROM	
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136 (a). In	no ever	nt, however, ma	y a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
If the If NO Failur Any r	ig date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	and will he applic	expire SIX (6) Notation to become	10NTHS 1 BABAND	rom the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status						
1).	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				··	
2a)[]	This action is FINAL . 2b) \overline{X} : This act	tion is	non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pa</i>					
-	ition of Claims					
4) X	Claim(s) 1, 11, 31, 32, 34, 36-45, and 62-66				is/are pending in the application.	
	4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>1, 44, and 45</u>				is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 🗔	Claim(s)		is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s)					
8) X	Claims 1, 11, 31, 32, 34, 36-45, and 62-66		are s	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	ation Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)=	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a)	accepted	or b)	objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawin	g(s) be held	in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11).	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: a	a) a	approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this	Office acti	on.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority	under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	All b). Some* c) None of:					
	1 Certified copies of the priority documents have	e bee	n received	,		
	2 Certified copies of the priority documents have	e bee	n received	in App	olication No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure 	au (Pi	CT Rule 17	.2(a)).	•	
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e cert	tified copies	s not re	eceived.	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priori	ity under 3	5 U.S.	C. § 119(e).	
a)	The translation of the foreign language provisiona					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priori	ity under 3	5 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachn						
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4,			D-413) Paper Notsi	
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 55 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Nots. 6. Other					
J. 111	C. C. Sisciodaro Otatoriolitar più i dell'arte i Contarta di appriliationi	٠,	O1. €.			

Application/Control Number: 10/084018 Page 2

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II (claims 11, 31, 32, 34 & 36-43) in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the methods of Groups IV & VI "could and should be examined together with the product claims from which they depend, per Commissioner's Notice...", and cites In re Ochaii and In re Brouwer. Applicants then argue that claim 1 "could [also] be examined along with the antibody claims without undue burden on the Examiner". This is not found persuasive because the search and examination for polypeptides is not the same for antibodies, where only 6 amino acids are required to define an epitope that can be used to generate an antibody to a given polypeptide. Second, the antibodies of Group II can be used in materially different methods, such as therapeutic agents for disease states related to serine carboxypeptidase dysfunction, or as agents to detect such disease states. In contrast, the methods of Groups IV & VI require appropriate labeling protocols, which are not required for the products of Group II. Third, the use of antibodies in Groups IV & VI involve entirely different labeling, detection and purifying protocols than those required in the methods of Group II which alternatively requires animals to immunize and/or hybridoma cells not required in the methods of Groups IV & VI. Lastly, in contrast to Applicants' asertions, because none of the claims in elected Group II are allowable, etc., no rejoining of these groups is required; consistent with that held by the courts in *In re Ochaii* and *In re Brouwer*. Therefore, because these groups are

Art Unit:

distinct and separable for the reasons stated, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1, 44 & 45 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 8.

However, because each of the different recited sequences in base claims 11, 36, 39 & new claims 62-66 are unique, as exemplified by their unique SEQ ID NOs, which therefore define unique epitopes, further restriction of elected Group II is necessitated because the non-coextensiveness of the search and examination for each group would constitute an undue burden on the examiner to search and consider all the separable groups.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - IIa. Claims 11, 31-32, 34, 36-43, 62, 63 & 65, drawn to isolated antibodies, and fragments thereof, directed against the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:1, compositions, and methods of producing such antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1+.
 - IIb. Claims 11, 31-32, 34, 36-43, 62 & 64, drawn to isolated antibodies, and fragments thereof, directed against the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:3, compositions, and methods of producing such antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1+.

Application/Control Number: 10/084018 Page 4

Art Unit:

IIc. Claims 11, 31-32, 34, 36-43, 62 & 66, drawn to isolated antibodies, and fragments thereof, directed against the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:5, compositions, and methods of producing such antibodies, classified in Class 424, subclass 130.1+.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relation of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed proper because these products appear to constitute patently distinct inventions for the following reason:

Groups IIa-c are directed to products that are physically and functionally distinct, as illustrated by the distinct and unique SEQ ID NOs of the polypeptides of SEQ ID NOs: 1, 3 & 5 required to generate the distinct antibodies of Groups IIa-c. These unique sequences/epitopes alternatively require their own search for similar sequences/ epitopes, which further require search for cross-reacting antibodies within the art. It is pointed out that there is a proper distinction between these groups, since each product is not required in order for the other to exist.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, they have acquired a separate status in the art as illustrated by the unique SEQ ID NOs of the polypeptides required to generate the unique antibodies claimed, and the non-coextensiveness of the search and examination for each group would constitute an undue burden on the examiner to search and

Art Unit:

consider each of the separable groups with their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(I).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (703) 305-3132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and alternate Fridays, from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 305-4623. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

August 7, 2003

Fact sig